

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
EASTERN DIVISION

REX W. HUPPKE and ANYONE WITH A LICK OF SENSE,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ALL PEOPLE WHO EXPRESS STRONG OPINIONS PUBLICLY BUT DO NOT KNOW  
HOW TO SPELL CORRECTLY OR USE PROPER GRAMMAR,

Defendants.

---

COMPLAINT

NOW COME the plaintiffs Rex W. Huppke of the Chicago Tribune (hereinafter "HANDSOME PLAINTIFF") and anyone with a lick of sense (hereinafter "PLAINTIFF MOST PEOPLE") complaining of all people who express strong opinions publicly but do not know how to spell correctly or use proper grammar (hereinafter "LANGUAGE ASSASSINS"), and state as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This is an action for damages brought pursuant to the entirety of the U.S. Constitution because there is absolutely no way the Founding Fathers (hereinafter "OLD WHITE DUDES") intended us to put up with a bunch of negative blah-blah from people who don't even know the difference between "your" and "you're."
2. Venue is proper for this court pursuant to the fact that it's where I live. Filing anywhere else would be dumb. Let's move this along.

PARTIES

3. I enjoy them.

FACTS

4. HANDSOME PLAINTIFF and PLAINTIFF MOST PEOPLE have at least a reasonable understanding of the English language and the rules of grammar and punctuation.
5. HANDSOME PLAINTIFF and PLAINTIFF MOST PEOPLE have either heard of or own "The Elements of Style," a venerable writing guide that focuses, among other things, on the importance of spelling words correctly and using proper grammar and punctuation.
6. If the authors of "The Elements of Style" were alive today to read some of the angry email that HANDSOME PLAINTIFF receives on a daily basis – email riddled with misspellings and grammatical errors – the authors would, to quote noted fictional character Bart Simpson, "have a cow."
7. Examples of said misspelling and errors include, but are not limited to: excessive use of exclamation points; frequent misrepresentation of "they're" as "there" or "their"; near-pathological refusal to understand that "your" does not mean "you are"; and repeated abuse of the supposedly sacred word "Constitution," which is often presented as "constitushion," "Consintution," "consnitution" or "Constitation."
8. Additional examples of reckless behavior by LANGUAGE ASSASSINS can be found on myriad Internet comment boards as well as in posts on social media sites like Twitter, Facebook and Google+.
9. These mistakes, whether accidental or intentional, serve to irritate the masses and cause irreparable harm to whatever point the LANGUAGE ASSASSINS are trying to make. HANDSOME PLAINTIFF acknowledges that those points are often ridiculous to begin with, but still, that's no excuse for sloppy writing.
10. Clearly the actions of LANGUAGE ASSASSINS violate the constitutional rights of HANDSOME PLAINTIFF and PLAINTIFF MOST PEOPLE, as they are forced to routinely read fiery missives that bring up: the "evlis of homosexuals getting gay married"; our "tyrannnt mooslem PRESIDENT!!!!/"; the alleged fact that "your a real dummy"; "Obummercare derth panles"; violations of "judiciarilly enstabsihed RULES OF LaW"; and the well-established fact that "sciencetists dont no everythings."
11. WHEREFORE HANDSOME PLAINTIFF and PLAINTIFF MOST PEOPLE request that this Court enter Judgment in their favor and against LANGUAGE ASSASSINS and direct LANGUAGE ASSASSINS to immediately purchase "The Elements of Style" and refrain from any emailing or social media posting until such time as they have improved their writing skills to a level that is, at minimum, not embarrassing.

Respectfully submitted,  
Rex W. Huppke, handsome columnist